

Contents



- I. Introduction
- **II. Similar Features**
- III. Dissimilar Features
- IV. Application of Equitable & Reasonable Use
- V. Conclusion













Introduction

NILE BASIN INITIATIVE INITIATIVE DU BASSIN DU NIL

- Transboundary watercourse: aquifer, lake & river basin shared by two or more states
 - cover 45.3 % of the earth's land surface
 - affect about 40% of the world's population
 - account for approximately 80% of global river flows
- Several studies show
 - there are no smooth practices
 - its management differs from basin to basin (time to time)
- Thus, comparative study of different river basins:
 - have **academic** + **practical** significance
 - to explore/learn/adopt better experiences
- Accordingly, the study consider the two most disputed River Basins (from the EA-ME)
 - ☐ **Tigris-Euphrates** and **Nile** River Basins.
 - ☐ From international law perspective
 - \square within the scope of:
 - Normative +
 - institutional aspect
 - □ based on qualitative research method













Similar Features

NILE BASIN INITIATIVE
INITIATIVE DU BASSIN DU NIL
INITIATIVE DO DASSIN DO NIL

General Perspective	Normative Perspective	Institutional Perspective	
Both basins have rich history	 Single vs. divided river system In ET Basin - downstream follow divided [E & T], while upstream follow single [ET] In Nile Basin, for budgeting/management, follow divided system [EN-SAP & NEL-SAP] N.B: Divided approached are against Integrated Water Resource Management (IWRM) 	Ad-hoc nature: - 1 st JTC of ET - all Nile initiatives	
Both rivers flow toward the Middle East	Non application of - the 1997 UN Watercourse Contention - the customary international watercourse laws	Technical/responsibilities assigned	
Both featured with three key riparian players: - Turkey, Syria, and Iraq,	Disagreement over Historical/ Natural right, as - down riparians - claim for - upper riparians - stand against	Lack of bearing results	
(in ET River basin)Ethiopia, Egypt, & Sudan(in Nile River basin)	Foreign elements/intervention - France - in ET - British - in Nile		











Dissimilar Features

Parameter	ET River Basin	Nile River Basin			
General Perspective					
Length	4,700 km long	6,825 km long			
Administration System	was under a single unified Ottoman E administration	mpire No record as such (Ethiopia uncolonized)			
Riparian Compositions	4 riparians (Turkey, Syria, Iraq & Iran)	11 riparians			
Normative Perspective					
Legal doctrinal theory	Follow tolerable approach - all riparian states - Adhere to limited territor sovereignty	Follow conflicting approach - Upstream - limited territorial sovereignty - Downstream - absolute territorial integrity & prior appropriation			
Level water quantification	Comparatively mature	Immature (off the table)			
Institutional Perspective					
Institutional Model	JTC resembles - River Basin Coordinating Committee or Council	NBI resembles - River Basin Authority			
Duration	 1st JTC – ad-hoc 2nd JTC – permanent 	 HydroMet, Undugu, TECCONILE & NBI are all <i>ad-hoc</i> NBC (permanent) – intended yet not established 			
Structural set up	JTC - Simple structure	NBI - Well structured (Nile-COM, Nile-TAC and Nile-SEC)			
Operational Status	JTC - not active	NBI - active			











NILE BASIN INITIATIVE INITIATIVE DU BASSIN DU NIL

Lesson To Be Drawn



No	ET River Basin	Nile River Basin
1.	 Friendship dam planned to be build along Turkey and Syria border intended to build trust 	 - all-inclusive nature - intended for a basin-wide legal regime (replacing colonial ones) - prioritizes equitable/fair share for all - intended to establish NBC
2.	Lausanne Peace Treaty (Turkey vs. Victorious, 1923)dissociates border issues with transboundaryhelp not to exacerbate a basin-wide cooperation	NBIactive/operational (not halted)keeps basin-wide cooperation
3.	 Atatürk dam its experience on first filling & operation might help to settle the current dispute on GERD 	











Equitable & Reasonable Application



Parameter	ET River Basin	Nile River Basin
Generally	Existence of understanding - to use the river by all	'All water is mine' mentality - by downstream
Normatively	 1987 Turkey-Syrian treaty: Turkey commit to release 500 m³/sec water flow toward Syria 1990 Syria-Iraq treaty: from the 500 m³/sec flow, the two agreed to share 42% & 58% respectively 	Colonial legal regimes, mainly 1902, 1929, 1959 et al, are narrated & designed - to guarantee: - whole bulk of Nile water - to down riparians (Egypt & Sudan) - not to be used by: - upper riparians - without downstream express consent
Institutionally	 Efforts of: 1st JTC – to determine & regulate yearly ET discharge 2nd JTC – to come up with detailed master plan for 'equitable and reasonable' share 	 All institutional initiatives: designed not to entertain cases relating to Nile water re/quantification water share requests are considered a 'red line' by downstream riparians, mainly Egypt
Conclusion	Thus, ET River basin – adhere to 'equitable and reasonable' principle	Thus, Nile River basin – do not adhere to 'equitable and reasonable' principle

Therefore, ET River basin management are in a better position of adhering to 'equitable & reasonable' use principle













Conclusion



- ET River basin management has better experience in:
 - trying to building trust among riparian states
 - dissociating border & river issues
 - first filling & operation of dam, like Atatürk Dam
 - adhering/applying 'equitable & reasonable' use principle
- Nile River basin management has better experience in:
 - crafting inclusive basin-wide legal regime CFA
 - organized institutional & structural arrangement NBI/NBC
- Therefore, both basin can/shall:
 - learn from their respective better experiences
 - adopt/interpret it in accordance with its respective basin scenarios











